#### IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS

MICHAEL E. KELLY, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

No. 21-1949C (Judge Kathryn C. Davis)

v.

THE UNITED STATES,

Defendant.

# PLAINTIFFS' UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL AND TO EXTEND THE CURRENT BRIEFING SCHEDULE

By this motion, Plaintiffs request that the Court issue an order permitting the law firm currently representing Plaintiffs, Hagens Berman Sobol & Shapiro LLP, ("Hagens") to withdraw as counsel of record, and the law firms of Diamond McCarthy LLP ("Diamond") and Larson LLP ("Larson") to substitute for Hagens, with Hagens consent, as counsel of record for Plaintiffs upon this Court's granting of the Plaintiffs' request to modify the current briefing schedule. The contact information for Plaintiffs' proposed new counsel is as follows:

Allan Diamond, Partner
Diamond McCarthy LLP
909 Fannin St., Suite 3700
Houston, Texas 77010
(713) 333-5100 Telephone
allan.diamond@diamondmccarthy.com

and

Robert F. Ruyak, Partner Larson LLP 900 17<sup>th</sup> Street NW, Suite 320 Washington, DC 20006 (202) 798-4661 Telephone rruyak@larsonllp.com Pursuant to this Court's October 25, 2022, scheduling order, Defendant filed a motion to dismiss the Complaint on December 16, 2022, and Plaintiffs are required to respond to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss on or before February 3, 2023. ECF Doc. No. 14. Given the pending substitution of new counsel at this late date in the current briefing schedule, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court's scheduling order be modified to suspend the current briefing schedule on Defendant's Motion to Dismiss and order that Plaintiffs' opposition briefing is due on March 6, 2023.

Defendant has advised counsel in writing that it does not oppose this substitution of counsel and the requested extension of the current briefing schedule.

Plaintiffs' request to modify the current briefing schedule is in the best interests of the Court, the Parties, and the efficient administration of justice. Providing Plaintiffs and new counsel the opportunity to perform appropriate review and research prior to responding to Defendant's motion to dismiss will facilitate this Court's analysis of the issues. This requested, short period of thirty days for filing of Plaintiffs' opposition will provide the opportunity to streamline the issues currently being contested and to raise only those which require consideration and decision by this Court. This is especially true in light of very recent and potentially applicable caselaw, such as the recent decision by the Federal Circuit in the *Washington Federal v. United States*, 26 F.4<sup>th</sup> 1253 (2022) and the more recent denial of certiorari by the U.S. Supreme Court in *Fairholme Funds*, *Inc., et al. v. United States, et al.*, 2023 WL 124023, at \*1 (U.S. Jan. 9, 2023).

For the foregoing reasons constituting good cause, Plaintiffs respectfully ask the Court to grant plaintiffs' motion for substitution of counsel upon modification of the current scheduling order resetting the deadline for Plaintiff's opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss to March 6, 2023.

### Respectfully submitted,

#### HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

## By: /s/ Steve W. Berman

Steve W. Berman Attorney of Record 1301 Second Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98101

Telephone: (206) 623-7292 Facsimile: (206) 623-0594 E-mail: steve@hbsslaw.com

### DIAMOND MCCARTHY LLP

## By: /s/ Allan Diamond

Allan Diamond, Partner 909 Fannin Street, Suite 3700 Houston, Texas 77010 allan.diamond@diamondmccarthy.com (713) 333-5100 Telephone (713) 333-5199 Fax

## LARSON LLP

## By: /s/ Robert F. Ruyak

Robert F. Ruyak, Partner 900 17<sup>th</sup> Street NW, Suite 320 Washington, DC 20006 rruyak@larsonllp.com (202) 798-4661 Telephone (202) 795-4888 Fax